

SECRET

AUG 1966

66-3421

3 August 1966

MEMORANDUM FOR: See Distribution

SUBJECT: Possible Need for Revision of NSCIDs and DCIDs

1. In the course of the DCI's meeting with the President's Foreign Intelligence Advisory Board on 29 July, he undertook to examine the NSCIDs and DCIDs and report which, if any, of these required modification. This was in response to the Board's question as to whether these documents should not be reviewed and revised "in the interest of providing updated and clear-cut guidance to the intelligence community."
2. As a result of the discussion, the consensus of the Board seemed to be that there was no compelling reason for any across-the-board revision of these documents. Certain specific ones were mentioned as requiring special attention (see attached excerpt of Mr. Bross' memorandum for the record, dated 1 August).
3. It would be appreciated if all addressees would examine NSCIDs and DCIDs which are applicable to their work, including not only the specific functional ones but the more generalized NSCIDs, specifically 1, 2 and 3, to see whether in today's circumstances they make adequate provision for coordination and guidance of the community, and appropriately reflect a proper allocation of responsibilities. In order not to incur undue delay, it is suggested that USIB Committee Chairmen respond to this request as individuals rather than referring it to their committees.
4. The first step should be to identify any of these documents which clearly require revision to reflect significant changes and developments which have taken place since their issuance (e.g., allocation of responsibilities for economic intelligence under NSCID 3).

MORI/CDF Pages 1/2/3

SECRET

1
Encl. 1
L. 1
C. 1

~~SECRET~~

This would involve in the first instance the general consideration of whether any of them need to be revised, not the specifics of how they should be changed. Once he has had the benefit of all of your views on this point, the DCI will be in a position to discuss this matter, perhaps in an Executive Session of USIB. With respect to those which will in fact require revision, you could be giving consideration in the meantime to the specifics of such changes.

5. It is requested that you let this office have by 15 August your views in summary as to those documents which do or do not require any change.



AD/DCI/NIPE

Attachment

25X1

cc: ExDir-Comptroller

Distribution:

DDI
DDP
DD/S&T
DDS
General Counsel
Inspector General
D/ONE
All USIB Committee Chairmen
ExSec, USIB
PPB

~~SECRET~~

SECRET

Excerpt from John A. Bross Memorandum for the Record, 1 August 1966

25X1

The DCI undertook to examine the NSCIDs and DCIDs and report which, if any, of these required modification. Mr. [] 25X1 reported that existing arrangements with respect to ELINT in [] do not conform to the provisions of NSCID 6. It was 25X1 not clear to me whether this was supposed to be evidence of a need to change the NSCID or to change the arrangements. [] said that existing responsibility for the production of economic intelligence did not conform to the provision of NSCID 3. I believe in fact escape clauses of NSCID 3 do cover existing arrangements but the point should be examined.

SECRET

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : Mr. Parrott

DATE: 8 August 1966

FROM : Abbot Smith
Acting Director, National Estimates

SUBJECT: Possible Need for Revision of NSCIDs and DCIDs

We have looked over the NSCIDs and DCIDs insofar as they apply to the work of this Office, and see no need for any revision at all.

SENDER WILL CHECK CLASSIFICATION TOP AND BOTTOM			
UNCLASSIFIED		CONFIDENTIAL	
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP			
TO	NAME AND ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS
1	Director, ONE	4 AUG 1966	<i>CLW</i>
2	<i>Heck</i>		
3	<i>Lee</i>	<i>no longer needed for ONE</i>	<i>J</i>
4	<i>albert</i>		
5			
6			
ACTION		DIRECT REPLY	PREPARE REPLY
APPROVAL		DISPATCH	RECOMMENDATION
COMMENT		FILE	RETURN
CONCURRENCE		INFORMATION	SIGNATURE
Remarks:			
<p><i>I have a hold at this. I am pretty sure we do not want to open up the DCID in Estimates - or any other one - but what do you think?</i></p> <p><i>I have looked the Director's over, esp. DCID 1, and wouldn't change a word. KC (NSC ID 3) does the point about seem. intelligence. Need adjusting to reflect ORR's Full World responsibility, not the way DDI and ORR's working. KC</i></p>			
FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER			
FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.			DATE
AD/DCI/NIPE 7 E 22			3/8/66
UNCLASSIFIED		CONFIDENTIAL	SECRET